

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/795,993	03/10/2004	Wesley M. Mays	114944-00451	2242	
27557 75	90 01/25/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BLANK ROME LLP			POPE, DARYL C		
600 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2632		
			DATE MAILED: 01/25/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer	10/795,993	MAYS, WESLEY M.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	DARYL C. POPE	2632				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	·					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
	action is non-final.					
	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	, , , ,	• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/3/2004.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/795,993

Art Unit: 2632

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### **ART REJECTION:**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1,3-7,9-13, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lemelson(6,633,238).
- -- In considering claim 1, the claimed subject matter that is met by Lemelson includes:
  - 1) the antenna is met by the antenna(68);
  - 2) the sub processor module is met by the signal routing and control circuitry(76);
  - 3) the user interface system is met by the heads up display(70);
- 4) the communications medium is met by the interconnection circuitry for the various components of the system as seen in figure 6.
- -- With regards to claim 3, the sub processor module including a communication sub processor for processing and integrating data with a vehicle bus is met by the signal routing and control circuitry being able to couple and interconnect various system elements via signal multiplexing control circuitry(see: column 10, lines 55-58).

Application/Control Number: 10/795,993 Page 3

Art Unit: 2632

-- With regards to claim 4, the external source including an external communications module is met by the intelligent intersection controller as seen in figure 5.

- -- With regards to claim 5, the user interface system including a heads up display module is met by the heads up display(70).
- -- With regards to claims 6-7, the communication medium including wireless technology is met by the wireless radio (TX/RX)(66).
- -- With regards to claim 9, the communication medium being hard wired is met by the vehicle communications system being hard-wired in the vehicle.
- -- Claims 10-11 recites subject matter that is met as discussed in claim 1 above.
- -- With regards to claim 12, the transmitting data to a highway infrastructure is met by the two way voice communications from the radio(66) to the central control station(see: column 11, lines 12-20).
- -- Claim 13 recites subject matter that is met in claim 6 above.
- -- Claim 16 recites subject matter that is met in claim 5 above.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2,8, and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lemelson et al(6,633,238).

Application/Control Number: 10/795,993 Page 4

Art Unit: 2632

-- In considering claim 2, the examiner takes Official Notice that in the vehicle communication art, use of antenna located in a vehicle windshield is well known, and therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the antenna of Lemelson into the vehicle windshield, since this would have conserved space and as well would have helped alleviate damage to the windshield.

- -- With regards to claims 8 and 14, use of Bluetooth technology as a wireless communications medium is well known in the art, and therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute Bluetooth technology in place of the radio communications, since Bluetooth technology is inexpensive and reliable and causes less interference with reception of signals.
- -- With regards to claim 15, the examiner takes Official notice that in the vehicle art, use of received processed data being conveyed to a vehicle engine control processor is well known, and therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate this limitation into the system of Lemelson, since it would have facilitated providing control signals to various components of the vehicle, including the vehicle engine.

#### Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DARYL C. POPE whose telephone number is (571) 272-2959. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 8:00-6:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/795,993 Page 5

Art Unit: 2632

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, DANIEL WU can be reached on (571) 272-2964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daryl C. Pope

June 26, 2005

DARYL C POPE

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2632